THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

Taken Up Writ Petition No.1066 of 2007

Dated: 29th January, 2007.

Between:

V.Rajeshwara Rao, Satuluru Village, Guntur District
... Petitioner

and

1. The Station House Officer, Nadendla Police Station, Guntur District and two others
... Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

Taken Up Writ Petition No.1066 of 2007

Oral Order: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)**

Heard the learned Additional Government Pleader for Home, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. Taken Up Writ Petition on the basis of a telegram, dated
09-01-2007, sent by the petitioner to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad complaining that his brother, namely, Vakkanti Pitchaiah, was illegally confined by the Inspector of Police, Chilakaluripet on 08-01-2007 at 7 p.m., and also obtaining signatures on blank stamped papers forcibly to deliver land to his henchmen at lower price and threatening with dire consequences and he apprehends danger to the life of his brother and prayed to set him at liberty forthwith.

3. In response to the notices issued by this Court, a counter-affidavit has been filed by the Inspector of

Police, Chilakaluripet, Guntur District, who is the second respondent herein, *inter alia*, stating that the detenu is an accused in Crime No.5 of 2007 for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 and 506 I.P.C., which was registered by the Station House Officer, Nadendla Police Station on a complaint made by one Mr.Chimata Appa Rao, resident of Satuluru village of Nadendla Mandal.

4. A copy of the First Information Report in Crime No.5 of 2007 of Nadendla Police Station has been filed along with the counter-affidavit. It is further brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner also made a complaint to the A.P. State Human Rights Commission, Hyderabad and that the same is pending enquiry. It further appears that the Village Administrative Officer, Satuluru also issued a certificate to the effect that the alleged detenu is very much available in the village. This certificate was issued for the purpose of answering the A.P. State Human Rights Commission, Hyderabad.

5. From the above, it is clear that the A.P. State Human Rights Commission, Hyderabad is probing the matter. On this ground itself the writ petition is liable to be closed.

6. Accordingly, the Taken Up Writ Petition is closed, at the stage of admission.

_____
D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____
P.LAKSHMANA REDDY, J

29th January, 2007.
Ak